

## ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date

29 July 1985

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)		Initials	Date
1. DIRECTOR OF TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

## REMARKS

#1. ACTION

18-3

FOR DIRECT RESPONSE WITH A DROP COPY  
TO EXO/DDA.

SUSPENSE: COB 12 AUGUST 1985

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name)	Room No.—Bldg.
EO/DDA 7D18 HQS	Phone No.

STAT

5041-102

☆ GPO : 1983 O - 381-529 (301)

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)  
Prescribed by GSA  
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

**EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT****ROUTING SLIP**

TO:

		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI				
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI				
6	DDA	X			
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/OLL				
14	D/PAO				
15	VC/NIC				
16	D/OTE		X		
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

SUSPENSE

Date

Remarks

To # 6: For Direct response please.

Executive Secretary

25 Jul 85

Date

3637 (10-81)

STAT



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE COLLEGE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-6111

2805

22 JUL 1985

DD/A Registry  
85-2630

U-2678/DIC-2


TO: DISTRIBUTION LIST

SUBJECT: National Senior Intelligence Program

Reference: DIA Message, DTG 120457Z Jul 85, subject as above.

1. The National Senior Intelligence Program (NSIP), which had been an eight week program in recent years, was reduced to two weeks for its 1985 offering. In order to assess its validity as a senior program, the length and content of NSIP are now under review. The Defense Intelligence College announced by the referenced message that a letter would be sent, along with a questionnaire, inviting your assistance in that review.
2. NSIP is intended to be an executive program addressed to the GS-15/0-6 level. Our impression from preliminary review and from course critiques is that the program should be briefer than the presently scheduled eight weeks. The more senior people are not likely to be spared eight weeks away from their posts. What is more, while even the most senior people can profit from some measure of formal instruction, the program should perhaps also allow opportunity for a thorough exchange of views and problems.
3. Provisional thought suggests something on the order of three weeks for the program. The first two weeks might be devoted to formal instruction and seminars and the third week might be arranged in a much less formal setting so as to present an opportunity for intellectual refreshment, deep and leisurely reflection, and a free and easy exchange among the best minds in the profession.
4. We would greatly appreciate your thoughtful response to the attached, brief questionnaire. Your assistance will help us to plan effectively for the 1986 offerings of NSIP and will provide useful materials for discussion at the next users' conference.

Enclosure a/s

  
FELIX M. FABIAN  
Colonel, USAF  
Dean

DISTRIBUTION LIST

See page 3

DCI  
EXEC  
REG

**DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE COLLEGE**  
**NATIONAL SENIOR INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM**

Please complete the following questionnaire and return to:

Dr. Richard G. Stevens  
Associate Dean  
Defense Intelligence College  
Washington, D. C. 20301-6111

1. Does a three week period seem about the right length of time for senior intelligence executives--mostly O-6/GS-15 and above--to take part in such a program as NSIP?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
Do you suggest another period of time as more appropriate?

2. What would be the best time or times of the year for NSIP?

3. Do participants at this level in this kind of program need formal instruction or should they chiefly spend their time in seminars and exchanges of views?

What mixture seems best? \_\_\_\_\_ % instruction: \_\_\_\_\_ % seminars?

4. Listed below are some discussion topics and activities. Please rank them according to their relative importance. Mark with a "1" those of chief importance, with a "2" those of somewhat lesser importance, with a "3" the merely nice-to-know things, and a "4" those things altogether inappropriate for such a program:

- \_\_\_\_\_ Responsiveness and initiative in the analyst community
- \_\_\_\_\_ Raising the quality of analysis
- \_\_\_\_\_ Fiscal Management
- \_\_\_\_\_ Guest lectures by senior intelligence leadership
- \_\_\_\_\_ Personnel management (recruiting quality people; training; providing guidance; etc.)
- \_\_\_\_\_ External influences (Changes in administration; Congressional oversight; the press and broadcast coverage; FOIA; leaks; First Amendment)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Field trips to key Washington intelligence elements (NSA, NMIC, etc.)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Science and Technology

\_\_\_\_\_ Indications and Warning

\_\_\_\_\_ Collection (Systems; Requirements; Management)

\_\_\_\_\_ Area studies (Middle East; Latin America; China)

\_\_\_\_\_ Intelligence support activity (Inter-agency cooperation; technological support)

\_\_\_\_\_ Impending and future concerns in the intelligence community ("Hot" issues; new subjects; changes in the balance of assets or concerns)

\_\_\_\_\_ Current intelligence issues. We are considering devoting the last few days of the program to a thorough examination of the successes and failures of the intelligence community in some recent, historical crisis. Critical issues would be identified by the participants. A "retreat" setting is a possibility.

5. Do any of the above topics need to be retitled or do you have any comments on how they should be approached?

\_\_\_\_\_

6. Can you suggest other important topics? \_\_\_\_\_

7. For planning purposes, please indicate the number of those under your supervision who might be sent to such a program each year for the next few years.

\_\_\_\_\_

8. Please add any other suggestions you might have as to what should be in the program, or as to how the program should be set up or run. Please comment as extensively as you like on the proposal that the latter part of the program should be spent in a kind of "retreat" setting where, for example, the participants would bring to bear their perspectives on themes or problems of general concern.

**Page Denied**

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied